

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,725	07/28/2003	Lewis B. Aronson	15436.247.2.1.2	9138	
22913	7590 10/17/2005		EXAM	INER	
WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY)			BAYARD, E	BAYARD, EMMANUEL	
	KMAN NYDEGGER & UTH TEMPLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			2638		
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/629,725	ARONSON ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Emmanuel Bayard	2638		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
WHI - Extra afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 for SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC (36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT at cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)		
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>uly 2003</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) <u>25-30</u> is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1,21 and 22 is/are rejected.				
7)∐					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)  objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •		
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a	) All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eceived in this National Stage		
*	application from the International Bureau		and the same		
,	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceivea.		
Attachma-	nticl				
Attachmei 1) ⊠ Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview St	immary (PTO-413)		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2638

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 21-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 12-13 of copending Application No. 10/629,302. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a first electrical input port for receiving a first serial electrical data stream; receiver eye opener circuitry including components for retiming and reshaping the first serial electrical data stream; a first electrical output port for transmitting the retimed and reshaped first serial electrical data stream to external to the integrated circuit; a second electrical input port for receiving a

second serial electrical data stream from external to the integrated circuit, transmitter eye opener circuitry including components for retiming and reshaping the second serial electrical data stream; a second electrical output port for transmitting the retimed and reshaped second serial electrical data stream.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 25-30 are allowed over the prior arts of record.
- 4. Claims 2-20 and 23-24 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Stephens U.S. Patent No 6,538,783 B1 teaches an optical systems including add drop. Liou et al US Pub No 2002/0060824 A1 teaches optical transponders and transceivers. Huber et al U.S. Patent No 6,661,973 B1 teaches optical transponders.

Gasper et al U.S. Patent No 6,075,634 teaches a GIGABIT data rate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Bayard whose telephone number is 571 272

Art Unit: 2638

3016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:Am-4:30PM) Alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vanderpuye Kenneth can be reached on 571 272 3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/14/05

**Emmanuel Bayard Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2638

Page 4